Appeal: 09-7717 Doc: 12 Filed: 12/21/2009 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7717

HENRY SKEETER,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

GENE M. JOHNSON, Director of the Virginia Department of Corrections,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Raymond A. Jackson, District Judge. (2:09-cv-00124-RAJ-TEM)

Submitted: December 15, 2009 Decided: December 21, 2009

Before MICHAEL and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Henry Skeeter, Appellant Pro Se. Joshua Mikell Didlake, Assistant Attorney General, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Henry Skeeter seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2006) petition. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2006). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Skeeter that failure to file timely objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation. Despite this warning, Skeeter failed to object to the magistrate judge's recommendation.

timely filing of specific objections to magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when parties have been warned of consequences the the Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th noncompliance. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 1985); see also Skeeter has waived appellate review by failing to timely file specific objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny Skeeter's motion to appoint counsel, and dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

Appeal: 09-7717 Doc: 12 Filed: 12/21/2009 Pg: 3 of 3

before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED